

Cabinet Meeting

Meeting Date	2 March 2016
Report Title	Tender award approval for Newington Wall Replacement
Cabinet Member	Cllr David Simmons Cabinet Member for Environment and Rural Affairs.
SMT Lead	Dave Thomas Head of Commissioning & Customer Contact.
Head of Service	Dave Thomas Head of Commissioning & Customer Contact.
Lead Officer	Jeff Kitson Parking Services Manager
Key Decision	No
Classification	Open
Forward Plan	Reference number:

Recommendations	1. That the Cabinet agrees to award the tender for the works to replace the collapsed wall in Newington Car park, to Colwin Construction for the sum of £89,588.
------------------------	--

1. Purpose of Report and Executive Summary

- 1.1 This report provides Cabinet with details of the procurement of services to complete the works to replace the collapsed wall in Newington car park and recommends award of the contract to the preferred supplier.
- 1.2 This report requests authority to award the design and build contract to the preferred supplier.

2. Background

- 2.1 On 24 November 2015 Swale Borough Council published an invitation to tender on the Kent Business Portal.
- 2.2 26 suppliers expressed an interest in the project of which 6 opted out, 2 submitted responses and 18 provided no response before the published deadline of midday on 30 December 2015.
- 2.3 The contract specification covers the removal of the remaining sections of the collapsed wall in Newington car park and replaces the wall with an Andacrib wall construction to provide support to the adjoining car park. The specification also includes replacing the edge of the car park surface and replacing the missing kerb to the car park for the extent of the reconstructed retaining wall, erect a new close board fence along the top of the reinstated bank and carry out repairs to the remaining brickwork sections.

2.4 Two companies submitted tenders and these were evaluated in two stages on 5 January 2016 by an Assessment Panel consisting of the Parking Services Manager, Operations Engineer and the Operations Clerical Officer.

2.5 The values of the tenders were as follows:

	Value
Company A	£89,588
Company B	£142,696

2.6 The tenders were reviewed at stage 1 with both company A and B considered compliant and therefore suitable for stage 2 evaluation based upon 60% price and 40% quality.

2.7 The tender price and quality scores following the meeting of the Assessment Panel are shown below:

	Price score	Quality score	Total score
Company A	60	25	85
Company B	24	27	51

2.8 It is therefore recommended that Company A is appointed to carry out the works as outlined in 2.3.

3. Proposal

3.1 That Cabinet agrees to award the tender for the works to replace the collapsed wall in Newington Car Park to Company A, Colwin Construction, for the sum of £89,588.

4. Alternative action and why not recommended

4.1 To not continue with the project is not recommended as there is a risk that further collapse of the retaining wall is possible which will significantly impact on the operation of the car park.

5. Consultation Undertaken or Proposed

5.1 Extensive consultation has taken place with Councillors, local residents, Legal, Procurement and Finance.

6. Implications

Issue	Implications
Corporate Plan	This proposal supports the Council's objectives in making Swale a better place by ensuring local parking facilities are maintained.
Financial, Resource and Property	Financial and resource implications were agreed by Cabinet on 11 March 2015.

Legal and Statutory	The procurement process followed the Council's Contract Standing Orders. A contract of services will be entered into with the successful supplier.
Crime and Disorder	No implications
Risk Management and Health and Safety	Risks and Health and Safety considerations formed part of the tender evaluations and were scored accordingly. Detailed risk assessments will be provided to the Council prior to work starting on site.
Equality and Diversity	This contract will comply with the Equalities Act as part of contract conditions.
Sustainability	This contract considers sustainability implications as part of contract conditions.
Health and Wellbeing	No implications

7. Appendices

7.1 None

8. Background Papers

8.1 Analysis of the tender submissions